



The Variation of Crude Protein and Total Fat of the Main Grassland Plants, in Various Stages of Growth, in “Kostilata” Subalpine Grassland in Theodoriana, Arta, Greece

Charalampos Koutsoukis^{1,2} Konstantoula Akrida-Demertzi² Panagiotis G. Demertzis²
Christos Roukos¹ Chrisoula Voidarou¹ Sotirios Kandrelis^{1*}

¹ Technological Educational Institute of Epirus, Department of Agricultural Technologists, Faculty of Agricultural Technology Food Technology and Nutrition, Kostakioi - Arta, GR 47100 Arta, Greece.

² University of Ioannina, Department of Chemistry, Section of Industrial and Food Chemistry, Food Chemistry Lab., GR 45110 Ioannina, P.O.Box 1186, Greece

*Corresponding author e-mail: sotkan@teiep.gr

Citation:

Koutsoukis C., Akrida-Demertzi K., Demertzis P. G., Roukos C., Voidarou C., Kandrelis S., 2016. The variation of crude protein and total fat of the main grassland plants, in various stages of growth, in “Kostilata” subalpine grassland in Theodoriana, Arta, Greece. Ekin J. 2(2):69-75.

Received: 04.12.2015

Accepted: 06.02.2016

Published Online: 28.07.2016

Printed: 30.07.2016

ABSTRACT

The chemical composition of different plant parts varies, both within the plant itself, as well as among different plants, which is due to their structure, stage of growth and phenological characteristics. In this study, the results of a research conducted in 2013, in a subalpine ecosystem in Epirus (Greece) are presented. The objectives of this research were the determination of crude protein and total fat (ether extract) of the ecosystem's main grassland plants, per group (grasses, legumes, other forbs), at different stages of growth. These plant species were: a) grasses (*Alopecurus gerardil* Vill, *Stipa pennata* L., *Phleum alpinum* L.), b) legumes (*Trifolium repens* L, *Lathyrus aphaca* L, *Lotus corniculatus* L.) and c) other forbs (*Ranunculus repens* L., *Achillea millefolium* L. and *Geranium lucidum* L.). The results showed that: a) the largest amount in crude protein was found in *Trifolium repens* L. with a percentage of 17.05%, with a statistically significant difference only with grasses, b) in all plant species, the largest amounts of crude protein and total fat was observed during the initial stage of plant growth, showing a gradual decrease until the final stage of growth, c) statistically significant differences appeared in the amount of crude protein and total fat, between the same plant species, at different sampling dates, and between different plant species in the same sampling dates and d) the amount of total fat in all plant species was on average 3%.

Keywords: Subalpine grassland, crude protein, total fat.

Introduction

The subalpine grasslands have a rich flora and are used primarily by pastoralism. Animal nutrition is the main factor that determines the quality of animal products (Boyazoglu and Morand-Fehr, 2001; Coulon *et al.*, 2004). It is well known that ruminant animals cover a large part of their dietary needs, by grazing, which ranges from 25% to 75% (Zervas, 1998), while, animal nutrition represents 50% to 90% of the production costs of each animal product (Ruiz *et al.*, 2009). Finally, rearing systems which are based on grazing, give animal

products of high nutritional value (Buchin *et al.*, 1999; Viallon *et al.*, 2000; Noziere *et al.*, 2006).

The amount of crude protein in grassland plants is one of the most important factors that define the quality of the produced forage (Buxton, 1996; Bell, 2003; Mlay *et al.*, 2006). At a specific time, the stage of plant growth varies between different plant species (Tallowin and Jefferson, 1999; Bruinenberg *et al.*, 2002) and the main factors that affect the growth of plants in natural conditions are precipitation and air temperature (Frank and Ries, 1990; Papanastasis *et al.*, 1997;

Tallowin and Jefferson, 1999; Lemaire *et al.*, 2000). The amount of crude protein in grassland plants, at an early stage of growth is higher than that of plants at a mature stage of growth (Buxton, 1996; Minson, 1990; Tziaila *et al.* 2000; Ammar *et al.*, 2004; Duru and Ducrocq, 1997; Hejzman *et al.*, 2010; Mountousis, 2008; Roukos *et al.*, 2006; Perez Corona *et al.*, 1998). More specifically, plant leaves contain higher percentage of crude protein than the stems and shoots, even at higher stage of growth (Cook, 1972; Ganskopp and Bohnert, 2001). As the plants grow, the ratio of leaf and stem usually decreases (Albrecht *et al.*, 1987; Buxton, 1996). The amount of crude protein in legumes is higher than that of grasses (Minson, 1990), while the amount of crude protein in forbs lies between that of legumes and grasses (Cook, 1972; Krysl *et al.*, 1984; Meyer and Brown, 1985; Ruyle, 1993).

The total fat or in other words, ether extract comprises the group of nutrient fat, which plays very important role in the animal body (Liamadis, 2000) and it is a very important energy component of the ruminant feed (Bauman *et al.*, 2003). The amount of fat in forage is, generally, low (less than 3% of the dry matter) (Coleman and Henry, 2002; Bruinenberg, 2003). The amount of total fat in plants decreases with growth (SCA, 1990), while the leaves of the plants contain higher amount of fat than the stems (Cook, 1972). The milk fat and the rate and type of fatty acids are affected by the rate of feed coming from grazing (Avondo *et al.*, 2003; Nudda *et al.*, 2003), as well as the plant species and their phenological stage (Addis *et al.*, 2005; Cabiddu *et al.*, 2005).

The use of the grasslands by extensive livestock farming, contributes to the production of quality animal products, the preservation of biodiversity and the protection of ecosystems themselves from natural hazards (Hadjigeorgiou *et al.*, 2005; Chatzitheodoridis *et al.*, 2007). Also, the rational use of grasslands requires both the knowledge of the nutritional needs of animals, as well as the quantity and quality of rangeland production in specific soil and climatic environments (Holechek *et al.*, 1995).

The "Kostilata" subalpine grassland is used only by pastoralism, it is of low production, dominated by grasses and it needs to be rationally managed (Roukos *et al.*, 2014). In this study, the variation in chemical composition (crude protein, total fat) of the main plants, per group (grasses, legumes, other forbs), in different stages of growth is described.

Materials and Methods

The research was conducted in 2013, in "Kostilata" subalpine grassland, and it extends at an altitude of 1400 to 2393 m., it is located, approximately, 80 km northeast of Arta, in Theodoriana, in the mountain range of Tzoumerka. Sixty (60) fixed experimental cages, one meter high,

made of mesh, with dimensions 4 m x 4 m, were installed, to protect plants from grazing. The cages were placed, randomly, in such a way so as to be representative of the grassland's vegetation. The aboveground biomass was collected, with the aid of a metallic frame, with dimensions of 50 x 50 cm, from five (5) different positions, within each of the cages, in order to have homogeneity, according to the method of harvesting (Odum; 1971, Cook and Stubbendieck, 1986; Sarlis, 1998). The samplings were carried out from April 30th to July 15th and specifically at 30/4, 16/5 8/6, 17/6 28/6 and 15/7, during which the animals were grazing. Forage was separated, from each sample, in three main groups: grasses, legumes and other forbs. From each group, three plant species, which had the highest proportion of biomass were selected: a) from grasses (*Alopecurus gerardil* Vill, *Stipa pennata* L., *Phleum alpinum* L.), b) from legumes (*Trifolium repens* L., *Lathyrus aphaca* L, *Lotus corniculatus* L. and c) from other forbs (*Ranunculus repens* L., *Achillea millefolium* L. and *Geranium lucidum* L. For the determination of the plant species the encyclopedia "Mountain Flora of Greece I and II" (Strid, 1986, Strid and Tan, 1991), the book "The main grasses of natural grasslands" (Papanastasis *et al.*, 1993) and the book "Vascular Plants of Greece" (Dimopoulos *et al.*, 2013) were used, whereas, for receiving the climate parameters (air temperature and precipitation), the weather station which is installed in Theodoriana, was used (Table 1). Likewise characteristics of soil of study area are given in Table 2. Then, the samples were placed in an oven for drying, at 65°C for 48 hours (Deinum and Maassen, 1994). The determination of crude protein was made according to the Kjeldahl method (A.O.A.C., 1999), while for the determination of total fat, an extraction of the samples was made, in petroleum ether, by using the Soxhmer apparatus, according to the Soxhlet method (A.O.A.C., 1990).

The results were compared for significant differences by one-way ANOVA test while mean differences were checked using Tuckey's test ($p < 0.05$). Statistical analyses were performed also with OriginPro 9.0 software.

Results and Discussion

Crude Protein

The largest amount in crude protein, on average, was found in *Trifolium repens* L. at a rate of 17.05%, in *Lotus corniculatus* L. at a rate of 14.86% and in *Lathyrus aphaca* L. at a rate of 14.76%. The other forbs followed and especially, *Ranunculus repens* L. with 13.88%, *Achillea millefolium* L., with 12.52% and *Geranium lucidum* L. with 11.80%, while, grasses showed the lowest percentage rates, 9.68%, 9.34%

and 10.79%, in *Alopecurus gerardii* Vill, in *Stipa pennata* L. and in *Phleum alpinum* L. respectively, with a statistically significant difference to be observed only between *Trifolium repens* L. and grasses (Table 3). Legumes contain larger quantity of crude protein compared to other plants (Minson, 1990; Ruyle, 1993). The results of our research agree with those of Minson (1990), who found that legumes contain crude proteins at a rate of around 16% to 17%, of the dry matter, while grasses contain crude proteins, at a rate of around 10% to 13%. Also, the rates of crude protein recorded by Meyer and Brown, (1985) and Ruyle, (1993) in other forbs, were lying between those of grasses and legumes.

The higher rates in all plant species occurred, with a statistically significant difference, in their early growth stages and decreased as the plants matured. The decrease in the amount of crude protein in plants is due to the fact that leaves have a higher content of protein, compared to the stems (Ganskopp and Bohnert, 2001), whereas, as the plants mature, the ratio of leaf / stem, usually decreases (Albrecht *et al.*, 1987; Buxton, 1996). Also, the decrease of crude protein in plants, during plant growth, has been reported by Duru and Ducrocq, (1997) and Hejzman *et al.*, (2010).

Also, a statistically significant difference was observed between plant species, on the same sampling dates, mainly, between both legumes and other forbs and grasses. These differences are due to the fact that, at a specific time, the stages of growth vary between different plant species (Tallowin and Jefferson, 1999; Bruinenberg *et al.* 2002).

Total fat

The largest amount of total fat, on average, was observed in *Lathyrus aphaca* L. with 2.90%, while the other forbs and grass showed lower rates. Statistically, significant difference was observed both between the different growth stages of the same plant, as well as between different plant species at the same sampling dates, mainly between both legumes and other forbs and grasses. Also, the higher rates in all plant species occurred, with a statistically significant difference, in their early growth stages and decreased as the plants matured (Table 4). All the above are due to the fact that leaves have a higher content of total fat, compared to the stems (Cook, 1972) and that the content of the plants in total fat decreases as the plants mature (SCA, 1990), while, at a specific time, the stages of growth vary between different plant species (Tallowin and Jefferson, 1999; Bruinenberg *et al.*, 2002).

Also, according to Albrecht *et al.*, (1987) and Buxton, (1996), as the plants mature, the ratio of leaf / stem, usually decreases. The results of our research agree with those of Coleman and Henry, (2002) and Bruinenberg, (2003), who report that the content of forage dry matter, in total fat is less than 3%.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported and co-financed by the European Union (European Fund of Regional Development) and National resources in the framework of the Regional Operational Program "Thessaly - Central Greece - Epirus".

Table 1. Climatic data of the region, the years 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 (National Meteorological Station, 2014)

Year	Average, annual air temperature (°C)	Average, annual precipitation (mm)
2010	11.27	2.887
2011	10.66	1.549,1
2012	11.53	3.240,8
2013	11.55	3.143,4
Mean	11.25	2.705,2

Table 2. Characteristics of the soil of the study area (Roukos *et al.*, 2014)

Parameter	Clay (%)	Slit (%)	Sand (%)	pH	Organic matter (%)	CaCO ₃ g / kg	P g / kg
Mean	14.5	36.0	49.5	5.6	6.8	0.465	14.5
Typ. error	0.72	0.77	1.05	0.06	0.24	0.39	2.60

Table 3. Content of crude protein in plants, at various stages of growth, on average.

Group	Sampling dates of the year 2013								Average
	30/4	16/5	27/5	8/6	17/6	28/6	15/7		
Grasses	<i>Alopecurus gerardii</i> L.	13.27 ± 3.15a ¹⁴	10.47 ± 0.53ab ¹	11.06 ± 0.46ab ¹³	7.93 ± 0.74 bc ¹	8.36 ± 0.12 bc ¹	6.96 ± 0.63bc ¹	9.68 ± 0.62 ¹	
	<i>Stipa pennata</i> L.	11.97 ± 0.86a ¹	10.78 ± 0.04ab ¹	9.88 ± 0.05ab ¹	8.88 ± 1.46abc ¹	8.38 ± 0.39bc ¹	6.16 ± 0.33c ¹	9.34 ± 0.46 ¹	
	<i>Phleum alpinum</i> L.	11.52 ± 1.27a ¹	12.36 ± 2.21a ¹	10.71 ± 1.09a ¹³	8.57 ± 0.56a ¹	10.79 ± 1.29 ¹
Legumes	<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.	18.91 ± 1.60a ²	21.43 ± 0.68a ²	18.53 ± 0.48a ²	19.62 ± 0.80 a ²	12.45 ± 0.37b ²	11.33 ± 0.60b ²	17.05 ± 0.8 ¹²	
	<i>Lathyrus aphaca</i> L.	13.50 ± 0.48ab ¹	17.50 ± 3.03a ²³	19.29 ± 1.59 a ²	17.34 ± 0.32a ²	14.11 ± 1.66ab ²	6.8 ± 0.16b ²	14.76 ± 1.21 ¹²	
	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i> L.	16.68 ± 0.58a ²⁴	16.02 ± 0.26a ³	18.87 ± 0.48a ²	17.12 ± 1.31a ²	11.74 ± 0.32 b ²³	8.74 ± 0.11b ¹	14.86 ± 0.68 ¹²	
Other forbs	<i>Ranunculus repens</i> L.	19.90 ± 0.84a	15.63 ± 1.36b ²³⁴	14.13 ± 0.21b ³⁴	13.11 ± 0.46b ¹³	11.85 ± 0.25bc ¹³	8.65 ± 0.49c ¹	13.88 ± 0.60 ¹²	
	<i>Achillea millefolium</i> L.	13.68 ± 1.95a ¹	14.81 ± 1.78a ³⁴	13.82 ± 0.37a ¹³	12.39 ± 1.09a ³	8.23 ± 0.17b ¹	12.52 ± 1.11 ¹²	
	<i>Geranium lucidum</i> L.	18.35 ± 0.21a ²³	15.81 ± 0.38b ³⁴	9.49 ± 0.19c ¹	10.51 ± 0.38c ¹³	9.65 ± 0.37c ¹	11.80 ± 0.28 ¹²	
Mean	19.9 ± 0.84a	15.20 ± 0.80a	15.21 ± 0.96a	15.98 ± 0.70a	14.58 ± 0.85a	10.76 ± 0.53b	8.97 ± 0.54b		

* Means with different superscripts (a ,b, c, d) in each row, differ significantly (P < 0,05)

** Means with different exponent (1 2 3 4) in each column differ significantly (P < 0,05)

Table 4. Content of total fat in plants, at various stages of growth, on average.

Group	Sampling dates of the year 2013										Average
	30/4	16/5	27/5	8/6	17/6	28/6	15/7				
Grasses	<i>Alopecurus gerardii</i> L.	2.95 ± 0.29a ¹	1.78 ± 0.23 ab ¹	1.50 ± 0.11b ¹	0.86 ± 0.09b ¹	1.09 ± 0.49b ¹	1.73 ± 0.13b ¹			1.65 ± 0.73 ¹	
	<i>Stipa pennata</i> L.	3.04 ± 0.02a ¹	1.92 ± 0.03b ¹	1.88 ± 0.09bc ²	2.76 ± 0.05ad ²	1.94 ± 0.26bcd ¹²	2.09 ± 0.23bcd ¹			2.27 ± 0.50 ¹	
	<i>Phleum alpinum</i> L.	2.13 ± 0.37a ²	2.65 ± 0.36 ²	1.55 ± 0.22b ¹³	1.29 ± 0.18bc ¹			1.90 ± 0.60 ¹	
Legumes	<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.	3.24 ± 0.47 a ¹	2.57 ± 0.22ab ²	1.74 ± 0.19b ²¹	1.86 ± 0.12b ³⁴	1.83 ± 0.23b ¹³	1.44 ± 0.42b ¹			2.11 ± 0.66 ¹	
	<i>Lathyrus aphaca</i> L.	4.0 ± 0.20a ¹	2.97 ± 0.14ac ²	2.18 ± 0.22cb ²	2.51 ± 0.31abc ²	2.15 ± 0.14bc ²³	3.62 ± 0.60a ²			2.90 ± 0.77 ¹	
	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i> L.	3.80 ± 0.41a ¹	3.88 ± 0.56a ²	3.40 ± 0.86a ³	3.40 ± 0.86b ²	1.97 ± 0.16 b ¹²	1.43 ± 0.32c ¹			2.7 ± 1.04 ¹	
Other forbs	<i>Ranunculus repens</i> L.	3.30 ± 0.15a	2.58 ± 0.29ab ¹	2.81 ± 0.31a ²	2.41 ± 0.10ab ²	1.63 ± 0.21b ³	1.51 ± 0.04b ¹		2.37 ± 0.69 ¹	
	<i>Achillea millefolium</i> L.	2.74 ± 0.76a ¹	3.07 ± 0.44a ²	1.85 ± 0.01a ²	1.81 ± 0.03a ³	2.8 ± 0.52a ²³⁴			2.43 ± 0.52 ¹	
	<i>Geranium lucidum</i> L.	3.67 ± 0.14a ¹	2.08 ± 0.31bc ²	2.02 ± 0.33bc ³⁴	3.03 ± 0.19a ⁴			2.58 ± 0.79 ¹	
Mean	3.30 ± 0.15a	3.09 ± 0.35 a	2.75 ± 0.29a	2.13 ± 0.25a	2.03 ± 0.17a	2.03 ± 0.26b	1.94 ± 0.22b				

* Means with different superscripts (a, b, c, d) in each row, differ significantly (P < 0,05)

** Means with different exponent (1 2 3 4) in each column differ significantly (P < 0,05)

References

- AOAC (1990). Ash of Animal Feed. (942.05) Official methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 15th Edition.
- Addis M, Cabiddu A, Pinna G, Decandia M, Piredda G, Pirisi A, Molle G (2005). Milk and cheese fatty acid composition of sheep fed different Mediterranean forages with particular reference to conjugated linoleic acid cisE9, transE11. *J. Dairy Sci.* 88, 3443-3454.
- Albrecht KA, WF Wedin and DR Buxton (1987). Cell-wall composition and digestibility of alfalfa stems and leaves. *Crop Sci.*, 27: 735-741.
- Ammar H, López S, González JS, Ranilla MJ (2004). Seasonal variations in the chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of some Spanish leguminous shrub species. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 115: 327-340.
- AOAC (1999). Official methods of analysis, 16th ed. (930.15). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C.
- Avondo M, Pagano RI, Criscione A (2003). Pasture percentage in the diet and milk fat composition in dairy ewes. In: Van der Honing, T. (Ed.), Proceedings of the EAAP 54th Annual Meeting, vol. I. Rome, p 346 (Abstr.).
- Bauman D E, Perfield II JW, de Veth MJ and Lock AL (2003). New perspectives on lipid digestion and metabolism in ruminants. *Proc. Cornell Nutr. Conf.* pp. 175-189.
- Bell A (2003). Pasture assessment and livestock production. NSW Department of Primary Industries. Agnote series DPI-428 (1st edition). State of New South Wales.
- Boyazoglu J and Morand Fehr P (2001). Mediterranean dairy sheep and goat products and their quality. A critical review. *Small Ruminant Research*, 40: 1-11.
- Bruinenberg MH, Valk H, Korevaar H and Struik PC (2002). Factors affecting digestibility of temperate forages from seminatural grasslands: a review *Grass and Forage Science*, vol. 57: 292-301.
- Bruinenberg MH (2003). Forages from intensively managed and semi-natural grasslands in the diet of dairy cows. PhD Thesis Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands. 173 pp.
- Buchin S, Martin B, Dupont D, Bornard A, Achilleos CS (1999). Influence of the composition of Alpine highland pasture on the chemical, rheological and sensory properties of cheese. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 66, 579-588
- Buxton DR (1996). Quality-related characteristics of forages as influenced by plant environment and agronomic factors. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 59: 37-49.
- Cabiddu A, Decandia M, Addis M, Piredda G, Pirisi A, Molle G (2005). Managing mediterranean pastures in order to enhance the level of beneficial fatty acids in sheep milk. *Small Rumin. Res.* 59, 169-180.
- Chatzitheodoridis F, Michailidis A and Theodossiou G. (2007). Comparative Analysis of sheep - Goat Farming in a Typical Greek Island: Economy and Environment, Applied Economics and Policy Analysis, 1(1-2): 191-200.
- Coleman SW and Henry DA (2002). Nutritive Value of Herbage. In: Freer M. and H. Dove (eds) *Sheep Nutrition*. CAB International. pp. 1-26.
- Cook CW (1972). Comparative nutritive values of forbs, grasses and shrubs. In: McKell, Blaisdel and Goodin (Eds), *Wildland shrubs, their biology and utilization*. Pp. 303-310. Forest Service USDA, Ogden, Utah.
- Cook WC and Stubbendieck J (1986). *Range Research: Basic Problems and Techniques*. Soc. Range Manage. Denver, Colorado. 317 p.
- Coulon JB, Delacroix Buchet A, Martin B, Pirisi A (2004). Relationships between ruminant management and sensory characteristics of cheeses: a review. *Lait*, 84, 221-241.
- Deinum B and Maassen A (1994). Effects of drying temperature on chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of forages. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 46:75-86.
- Dimopoulos P, Raus T, Bergmeier E, Constantinidis T, Iatrou G, Kokkini S, Strid A and Tzanoudakis D (2013). *Vascular Plants of Greece. An annotated checklist*. Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin-Dahlem & Hellenic Botanical Society, Berlin & Athens, 372 pp.
- Duru M, Ducrocq H (1997). A nitrogen and phosphorus herbage nutrient index as a tool assessing the effect of N and P supply on the dry matter yield of permanent pastures. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosystem* 47:59-69.
- Frank AB and RE Ries (1990). Effect of soil water, nitrogen, and growing degree-days on morphological development of crested and western wheatgrass. *Journal of Range Management*, 43: 257-260.
- Ganskopp D and Bohnert D (2001). Nutritional dynamics of northern Great Basin grasses. *J. Range Manage.* 54: 640-647.
- Hadjigeorgiou I, Osoro K, Fragoso de Almeida JP and Molle G (2005). Southern European grazing lands: Production, environmental and landscape management aspects. *Livestock Production Science*, 96: 51-59.
- Hejcman M, Szaková J, Schellberg J, Tlustoš P (2010). The Rengen Grassland Experiment: relationship

- between soil and biomass chemical properties, amount of elements applied, and their uptake. *Plant Soil* (2010) 333:163-17.
- Holechek JL, Pieper RD and Herbel CH (1995). *Range management: Principles and Practices*. 2nd Edition.
- Krysl LJ, Hubbert ME, Sowell FB, Plumb GE, Jewett JK, Smith MA and Waggoner JW (1984). Horse and cattle grazing in the Wyoming Red Desert II, Dietary quality. *J. Range Manage.* 37: 72-77.
- Lemaire G, Hodgson J, de Moraes A, Nabinger C and de F Carvalho PC (2000). *Grassland Ecophysiology and Grazing Ecology*. CAB International
- Liamadis LG (2000). *Physiology of the nutritional deficiencies of an animal body*, Volume 1, University Studio Press Publications, Thessaloniki.
- Meyer MW and Brown RD (1985). Seasonal Trends in the Chemical Composition of Ten Range Plants in South Texas. *J. Range Manage.* 38: 154-157.
- Minson DJ (1990). *Forage in ruminant nutrition*. Academic Press, New York. 483 p.
- Mlay PS, Pereka A, Phiri EC, Balthazary S, Igusti J, Hvelplund T, Weisbjerg MR and J Madsen (2006). Feed value of selected tropical grasses, legumes and concentrates. *Vet. Arhiv* 76(1): 53-63.
- Mountousis I, Papanikolaou K, Stanogias G, Chatzitheodoridis F, Roukos C (2008). Seasonal variation of chemical composition and dry matter digestibility of rangelands in NW Greece. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, 9(3): 547-556.
- National Meteorological Station (NMS) (2014). <http://penteli.meteo.gr/stations/theodoriana/>
- Noziere P, Graulet B, Lucas A, Martin B, Grolier P, Doré M (2006). Carotenoids for ruminants: From forages to dairy products. *Animal Feed Sci. and Technol.*, 131, 418-450.
- Nudda A, Mele M, Battaccone G, Usai MG, Macciotta NPP (2003). Comparison of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) content in milk of ewes and goats with the same dietary regimen. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 2 (Suppl. 1), 515-517.
- Papanastasis VP, Platis PD and Dini Papanastasi O (1997). Productivity of deciduous woody and fodder species in relation to air temperature and precipitation in a Mediterranean environment. *Agroforestry Systems*, 37: 187-198.
- Papanastasis B and Karagiannakidou Papadimitriou K (1993). The most important grasses of natural grasslands. Athens (in Greek).
- Pérez Corona ME, Vázquez de Aldana BR, García Criado B and García Ciudad A (1998). Variations in nutritional quality and biomass production of semiarid grasslands. *J. Range Manage.* 51:570-576.
- Roukos Ch, Koutsoukis Ch and Kandrelis S (2014). The structure of ecosystem and the forage production in the Kostilata subalpine grassland of Theodoriana. *Proceedings of the 8th Panhellenic Rangeland Congress, Thessaloniki, 1-3 October 2014*, p. 153-158. (in Greek).
- Roukos Ch, Papanikolaou K and Mountousis I (2006). Monthly and height changes in the production and chemical composition of rangeland production in a meadow of Preveza. *Review of animal science*, 35: 15-30.
- Ruiz FA, Mena Y, Castel JM, Guinamard C, Bossis N, Caramelle Holtz E, Contu M, Sitzia M and Fois N. (2009). Dairy goat grazing systems in Mediterranean regions: A comparative analysis in Spain, France and Italy. *Small Ruminant Research*, 85, 42-49.
- Ruyle G (1993). Nutritional value of range forage for livestock. In: Gum, R., Ruyle, G. and R. Rice (Eds). *Arizona Ranchers' Management Guide*. Arizona Cooperative Extension. The University of Arizona. 4 p.
- Sarlis C (1998). *Improvement and management of natural pastures*. Stamoulis Publications Athens. 341 p. (in Greek).
- SCA (1990). *Feeding Standards for Australian Livestock. Ruminants*. Standing Committee on Agriculture and CSIRO, Melbourne, 266 pp.
- Strid A and Tan Kit (eds) (1991). *Mountain Flora of Greece*. Vol. 2. Edinburgh Univ. Press, Edinburgh.
- Strid A (ed.) (1986). *Mountain Flora of Greece*. Vol. 1. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- Tallowin JRB and Jefferson RG (1999). Hay production from lowland semi-natural grasslands: a review of implications for ruminant livestock systems. *Grass and Forage Science*, 54:99-115.
- Tzialla Ch, Kasioumi M and Goulas Ch (2000). Production and forage quality in grasslands in two different climatic environments of Ioannina. *Proceedings of the 2nd Panhellenic Rangeland Congress, Ioannina, 4-6 October 2000*, p. 109-116 (in Greek).
- Viallon C, Martin B, Verdier Metz I, Pradel P, Garel JP, Coulon JB, Berdague JL (2000). Transfer of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes from forages into milk fat. *Lait*, 80, 635-641.
- Zervas G (1998). Quantifying and optimizing grazing regimes in Greek mountain systems. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 35: 983-986.